



Remote Quality Reflection Tool

Understanding the quality of remote, distance-learning programs

BellXcel's affiliate, The Sperling Center for Research and Innovation (SCRI), created the Remote Quality Reflection Tool for our partners to assess and understand program quality in a remote environment. As part of our commitment to provide actionable evidence and high-quality experiences for youth, families/caregivers, and staff, this tool is now publicly available to empower program leaders to drive improvement through research-based indicators. The use of this tool helps increase the collective knowledge base on remote learning and tests the value of this type of an assessment.

Remote, distance-learning can include virtual/online instruction, learning that is guided by a staff member using printed materials provided to youth and families, and various combinations of both.

Research-based Quality Domains¹



Program administration



Family/caregiver engagement



Culture and climate



Curriculum and instruction



Youth management



Assessment and evaluation

The quality indicators in this tool are part of six, research-based domains that contribute to high-quality, educational and enriching experiences for youth. Because a lot remains unknown related to point of service quality in the remote and distance learning space, this first release is focused primarily on program structure and factors that enable quality learning experiences. Future releases will incorporate point of service indicators shaped by the feedback and insights gained from program leaders using this tool.

Directions for Program Leaders

As a program leader, the Remote Quality Reflection Tool enables you to develop data-driven ideas and strategies for immediate and long-term program planning. Before completing your reflection, it is recommended that you interview staff, families/caregivers, and youth when possible, and/or "drop in" to observe remote learning experiences, to be able to accurately reflect on program quality.

Opportunities to Assess Program Quality

The indicators in the Remote Quality Reflection Tool are meant to guide your observations of the program in action. Reflecting on quality in the first few weeks of programming enables you, as program leader, to take immediate action to improve the experience for all participants. If you are beyond the first few weeks, you can still complete this at any time during the program for valuable insight and information. It is estimated to take approximately 30-60 minutes to complete the tool depending on the program size and reflection time; this does not include time you spent observing or interviewing stakeholders for feedback.

Using the Remote Quality Reflection Tool

There are three central ways to gather information on the quality indicators:

- Interviews or feedback from staff, families, and youth
- Document and materials review
- Instructional or activity observation.

You will see specific instructions on the right. Examples of supporting evidence associated with each indicator are provided as guidance and suggestions. These are not required and only serve as a catalyst for your thinking and rating selection. It is important as the program leader that you use this information to understand the full picture of program quality overall.

- 1. Review the quality indicators
- 2. Assess your program across each indicator
- 3. Once a section is completed, tally each column
- 4. Consider your program's areas of strength and improvement areas
- Engage your staff to discuss results and identify opportunities to further improve the quality of your program

Creating a Continuous Improvement Culture

Engaging staff is important to reflect on the results, as well as ideas and opportunities to further improve the quality of your program. This work with staff is critical to creating a culture of continuous improvement. As you determine your strengths and areas of improvement consider the following and use the planning guidance at the end of this tool.

Strengths: Determine ways to elevate and reinforce program strengths to enable consistency in delivery and implementation. Communicate these strengths to staff members and identify them as important for youth to have high-quality experiences.

Areas of Improvement: If your program is in the first few weeks, select and take action on the areas where you can make immediate changes and develop a plan to do so. If your program is close to the end, use these indicators to develop a continuous improvement plan that will help strengthen your program in the next cycle. Engage staff to identify obstacles and challenges to quality and opportunities to further improve in specific indicator areas or domains.



Program Administration

Planning and launching programming, as well as daily operations, including staffing, training, and scheduling.

				EVIDENCE EXAMPLES
1 Each staff member accessed and completed pre-program professional development.	O No		O Yes	Training attendance / completionFeedback from staff
2 I have communicated program goals to staff, families/caregivers, and youth.	O No		O Yes	 Staff orientation / meeting content Recruitment/enrollment fliers Family orientation content and materials
3 Staff members can serve and support the number of youth participants and families/ caregivers enrolled in a remote environment.	O No		O Yes	 Feedback from staff and youth participants Communication logs Staff to youth ratio
The program has a daily and weekly schedule in place for each staff member, which has been communicated to families/caregivers.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or all Staff Members	 Staff and family feedback Recruitment/enrollment fliers Family orientation content and materials
The program's leadership structure and roles/ responsibilities enable smooth facilitation of program operations.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Staff roles / responsibilities Staff, youth, and family engagement and feedback Daily attendance metrics
6 Staff members are provided with ongoing opportunities to learn throughout the program through regular check-ins and feedback.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Observed instruction Regularly scheduled staff meetings (one-on-one or group)
TOTAL Add up the number of indicators in each area	Critical	 Areas for		

Critical , Areas for Im Improvement

Areas for Improvement

Areas of Strength



Family/Caregiver Engagement

Systems and activities that provide opportunities for families to engage regularly and provide a strong home learning environment for youth.

					EVIDENCE EXAMPLES
1	Program staff directly connected with families/ caregivers before the program launched through an orientation and/or individual phone calls.	No or Few Families	Some Families	Most or all Families	 Family orientation content and materials Introductory call script with families Family communication log Feedback from staff and families
2	The program has systems in place which enable staff to reach out to families/caregivers frequently and consistently through a variety of methods (text, email, etc.).	O Not at All	Somewhat	Very Much So	 Family communication protocols, expectations, and processes Feedback from staff and families
3	Families/caregivers are aware of how best to reach and communicate with staff, and when to expect a response (e.g. within 24 hours).	O Not at All	O Somewhat	Very Much So	 Family orientation content and materials Introductory call script with families Family communication log Feedback from staff and families
4	Staff members are aware of the home learning environments, as well as the types and availability of technology in the homes.	O Not at All	C Somewhat	Very Much So	 Information on youth/family technology access Introductory calls with families and/or youth Staff/family conferences
5	Staff members regularly provide updates to families/ caregivers about youth academic progress and/ or their behavior and participation.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Family communication log Daily/weekly schedules have time buil in to connect with families Family communication protocols, expectations, and processes Feedback from families and staff
	Before reflecting on the next indic be discussing with families/caregi structuring a home-learning environ learning in the home, supporting motivation, etc.	vers regularly. onment, supp	Examples: sc orting acaden	heduling, crea nics in the hon	ating home-learning routines, ne, supporting social-emotional
6	Staff prioritize important topics in conversations with families/caregivers.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Daily/weekly schedules have time built-in to connect with families Family communication protocols, expectations, and processes Feedback from families and staff

IOIAL

Add up the number of indicators in each area

Critical Areas for Improvement

Areas for Improvement

Areas of Strength



Culture and Climate

Evidence of social-emotional learning, positive behavior management, emotional safety, and relationship building, which together create a safe and welcoming environment for learning and engagement.

	INDICATOR				EVIDENCE EXAMPLES	
Before reflecting on the next indicators, first consider the social-emotional skills, youth behaviors, and competencies that your program is trying to develop in young people. These should serve as the framework for the next indicators.						
1	Staff members are checking- in regularly with youth to encourage trusting and secure connections.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or All Staff Members	 Family communication log Staff/family conferences Feedback from families, staff, and youth 	
2	Staff members and leaders implement strategies that support positive youth behaviors and engagement in a remote environment.	O Not at All	○ Somewhat	Very Much So	 Feedback from families, staff, and youth Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff 	
3	Staff members consistently implement activities to support youth social-emotional development, well-being and physical health.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or all Staff Members	 Weekly lesson plans Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Feedback from families, staff, and youth 	
4	Staff members have established a structure and routine that enables scholars to navigate their learning independently (e.g. scholar self-efficacy).	O Not at All	Somewhat	Very Much So	 Feedback from families, staff, and youth Youth work and engagement Weekly lesson plans 	
5	Growth mindset language and prompts are evident in instructional planning and delivery.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Feedback from families, staff, and youth Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Weekly lesson plans 	

TOTAL			
dd up the number of	Critical Areas for Improvement	Areas for	Areas
dicators in each area		Improvement	Streng



Curriculum and Instruction

Evidence of planning and execution of activities and instruction that addresses remote learning needs and empowers youth's success.

INDICATOR		SCALE		EVIDENCE EXAMPLES
1 Staff members can effectively deliver the curriculum lessons and activities in a remote learning setting.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Feedback from families, staff, and youth Youth work and attendance
Staff members can effectively navigate technical difficulties during remote instruction.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Feedback from families, staff, and youth
3 Staff members can adapt curriculum lessons and activities to account for youth learning needs and the needs and conditions of the home learning environment (e.g. technology access).	Not at All	○ Somewhat	Very Much So	 Weekly lesson plans Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Feedback from families, staff, and youth Youth work and attendance
4 Staff members have a system for reviewing youth work and providing feedback remotely.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or all Staff Members	 Weekly lesson plans Observed instruction or communication between youth and staff Feedback from families, staff, and youth Youth work and engagement
5 Staff members or leaders have provided guidance and support to families and caregivers on how to develop consistent learning routines and structure learning environments in the home.	O Not at All	○ Somewhat	Very Much So	 Feedback from families, staff, and youth Family communication logs Staff/family conferences
6 Youth and families/caregivers have been provided with resources and materials to support learning in the home.	O Not at All	Somewhat	Very Much So	 Feedback from staff and families Families received the necessary printed materials and access to any digital materials

Add up the number of indicators in each area

Critical Areas for Improvement

Areas for Improvement Areas of Strength



Youth Management

Secure and effective systems and policies for youth recruitment, attendance, and retention.

	INDICATOR		SCALE		EVIDENCE EXAMPLES
1	The program's youth recruitment and enrollment procedures were effective in meeting enrollment targets/goals.	O No		O Yes	Met recruitment/enrollment targets Completed/collected enrollment forms
2	The program has a system/ process to consistently track youth attendance.	O Not at All	Somewhat	Very Much So	 Daily attendance metrics An attendance tracking system in place Written attendance policies/procedures
3	Staff members and/or leaders have communicated attendance expectations to families/ caregivers and youth.	O Not at All	O Somewhat	Very Much So	 Family orientation content and materials Introductory call script with families Family communication log Feedback from staff and families
4	The program implements a process to address youth absences by directly contacting families/caregivers.	Never or Rarely	Sometimes	Usually or Always	 Family communication log Written attendance policies/procedures Family communication protocols, expectations, and processes

TOTAL			
Add up the number of ndicators in each area	Critical Areas for Improvement	Areas for Improvement	Areas Streng



Assessment and Evaluation

Systems for collection and use of data to inform instruction and continuous improvement.

INDICATOR	SCALE			EVIDENCE EXAMPLES
The program has a plan in place to collect qualitative and quantitative feedback from stakeholders (e.g. staff, families/caregivers, and youth) in a remote environment.	O No		O Yes	Surveys developed to collect information on key program areas Distribution and collection plan for stakeholder surveys or another feedback mechanism (phone calls, etc.)
2 Staff members are collecting data about youth progress to understand growth and needs in youth skills and/or academic development.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or all Staff Members	Feedback from staff Documentation of youth progress tracking
3 If youth took assessments, staff members were provided with access to data for informing instruction.	No or Few Staff Members	Some Staff Members	Most or all Staff Members	Family orientation content and materials Introductory call script with families Family communication log Feedback from staff and families

TOTAL			
Add up the number of indicators in each area	Critical Areas for Improvement	Areas for Improvement	Areas Streng

Taking Action on Your Quality Assessment

As a program leader, you can foster a culture of continuous improvement at any point of your program implementation. These planning questions guide your ability to seize opportunities to translate the assessment insights and information into action.

Immediate Planning	- Only if y	ou are making	immediate program	changes.

Strengths

Determine ways to elevate and reinforce program strengths to enable consistency in delivery and implementation.

3 strengths to reinforce or elevate?	How will you communicate with staff?	Other steps for immediate changes?

Areas of Improvement

Leverage the indicator information to develop a continuous improvement plan that will strengthen your program immediately.

3 areas identified for immediate change or improvement?	What specific steps are needed to make the improvements?	How will you engage staff in these steps?

Additional F	Resources	and S	Supi	port
--------------	-----------	-------	------	------

Determine areas that may need additional focus and effort. Such as more interviews with staff to understand challenges, professional development, coaching, additional materials, etc.

Taking Action on Your Quality Assessment - Continued

As a program leader, you can foster a culture of continuous improvement at any point of your program implementation. These planning questions guide your ability to seize opportunities to translate the assessment insights and information into action.

Longer-term Planning - For changes to future program cycles.

Strengths

Determine ways to elevate and reinforce program strengths to enable consistency in delivery and implementation.

3-5 strengths t	o reinforce or elevate in planning for he next program cycle?	What specific steps are needed to enhance these strengths?

Areas of Improvement

Leverage the indicator information to develop a continuous improvement plan that will strengthen your program in the next cycle.

3 areas identified for change or improvement in the next program cycle?	For each area, identify obstacles to quality implementation.	How will you address these obstacles?

Additional Resources and Support

Determine areas that may need additional focus and effort. Such as more interviews with staff to understand challenges, professional development, coaching, additional materials, etc.

Are there areas that need further focus and potentially require additional resources and support to ensure readiness for the next program cycle?	Identify steps to take action on these.



BellXcel is a leading national nonprofit in youth development with nearly 30 years' experience innovating educational solutions rooted in evidence. For more information, visit BellXcel.org.